

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/935,316	08/22/2001	Ching-Leou Teng	ISIS-4824	1463
34138 75	90 01/29/2004		EXAMINER	
COZEN O'CONNOR, P.C. 1900 MARKET STREET		ANGELL, JON E		
	IA, PA 19103-3508	8	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		1635	
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applie	cation No.	Applicant(s)	,			
Office Action Summary		09/93	35,316 	TENG ET AL.	,			
		Exam	iner	Art Unit				
			Angell	1635				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - External after - If the control of the cont	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this core period for reply specified above is less than thirty operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three monthed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply a sly will. by statute. cause the	no event, however, may e statutory minimum of t and will expire SIX (6) Mi e application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>05 Novemb</u>	<u>er 2004</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 16-27 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 16-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are subject to rest	/are withdrawn fron						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 August</u> . Applicant may not request that any ob Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected.	<u>2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ a jection to the drawing ng the correction is re	g(s) be held in abey equired if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
(a) 13)	since a specific reference was includ B7 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign lacknowledgment is made of a claim	ty documents have ty documents have es of the priority doc tional Bureau (PCT tion for a list of the n for domestic prior ded in the first sent anguage provision n for domestic prior	been received. been received in cuments have been received in cuments have been received in the second in the special application has ity under 35 U.S.	Application No en received in this National Stage ot received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application fication or in an Application Data Shee	1) t.			
Attachmei			. \Box					
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449			w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Action is in response to the communication filed on 11/5/03. The amendment has been entered. Claims 1-15 have been cancelled. Claims 16-27 are pending in the application and are examined herein.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of group II (claims 16-27) and the species: capric acid and polyacrylic polymers, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the additional search required for group I would not constitute a serious search burden. This is not found persuasive because, as indicated in the previous Action, the search required for Group I is not coextensive with the search required for group II. For instance, the search for group II would require searching form methods of using the product in a subject, while this search would not be required for group I. The searches are not co-extensive which is indicative of a serious search burden.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL. Claims 16-27 are examined herein.

Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the use of the trademarks (for example, Carbopol 934 NF and Methocel E4M; see, e.g., p. 52 and claims) has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Art Unit: 1635

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 26 and 27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 26 and 27 contains the trademark/trade name Carbopol 934 NF and Methocel E4M, respectively. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe bioadhesives and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Art Unit: 1635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 16-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,877,309 (McKay et al.).
- 8. The instant claims are drawn to:

A method for enhancing the intestinal absorption of a drug in an animal, comprising orally administering to said animal an oral formulation. comprising:

- (a) a first population of carrier particles comprising said drug and a bioadhesive compound; and
- (b) a second population of carrier particles comprising a penetration enhancer (claim 16); wherein the animal can be a mammal (claim 17) or a human (claim 18); wherein the carrier particles may be administered separately or together (claims 19 and 20); wherein the drug is an oligonucleotide (claims 21); wherein the penetration enhancer is the fatty acid capric acid (claim 22); wherein the bioadhesive is a polyacrylic polymer (claim 23); and wherein the oligonucleotide is an antisense oligonucleotide (claim 24).

McKay teaches a method which comprises administering to a human a composition comprising an antisense oligonucleotides as a drug, wherein the antisense oligonucleotide is comprised in a formulation which can comprise capric acid and polyacrylates (e.g., see: col. 20,

Art Unit: 1635

lines 52-54, column 6, lines 29-65; col.22, lines 4-19; col. 23, lines 24-40; col. 25, lines 1-7; and col. 28, lines 3-4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 11. Claims 16, 21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,877,309 (McKay et al.), further in view of US Patent 5,514,788 (Bennett et al.).

It is noted that McKay teaches a method for enhancing the intestinal absorption of a drug in an animal, comprising orally administering to said animal an oral formulation. comprising: (a) a first population of carrier particles comprising said drug and a bioadhesive compound; and (b) a second population of carrier particles comprising a penetration enhancer (claim 16); wherein

Art Unit: 1635

the drug is an oligonucleotide (claims 21), and specifically where the drug is an antisense oligonucleotide, as previously indicated.

McKay does not teach that the oligonucleotide has SEQ ID NO: 1 (claim 24).

However, Bennett teaches an antisense oligonucleotide that exactly matches SEQ ID NO: 1 of the instant claims (see SEQ ID NO: 22 in column 35 of Bennett) wherein the antisense oligonucleotide is contemplated for use in a method where it is administered to an animal (e.g., see abstract).

Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method taught by McKay such that the antisense oligonucleotide described by Bennett (which is SEQ ID NO: 1) was the oligonucleotide drug used in the method, with a reasonable expectation of success.

The motivation to make the modification is provide in part by both McKay and Bennett. Specifically, McKay teaches a method for orally administering any therapeutic oligonucleotide to an animal and Bennett teaches a specific antisense oligonucleotide (that has SEQ ID NO: 1) is a therapeutic antisense oligonucleotide that can be administered to animals.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Eric Angell whose telephone number is (703) 605-1165. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

Art Unit: 1635

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John L. LeGuyader can be reached on (703) 308-0447. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

DAVET. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

J. Eric Angell, Ph.D. Art Unit 1635